

April 7, 2016

Robert Waterman, Compliance Specialist Wage and Hour Division, U.S. Department of Labor Room S–3510, 200 Constitution Avenue NW. Washington, DC 20210

Re: Proposed Department of Labor (Wage and Hour Division) Rule on Establishing Paid Sick Leave for Federal Contractors (RIN 1235–AA13)

Dear Mr. Waterman,

The National Association of Social Workers (NASW) writes to express its support for the U.S. Department of Labor's (DOL's) proposed rule implementing Executive Order 13706, *Establishing Paid Sick Leave for Federal Contractors* ("the EO" or "the Order"). As an organization dedicated to enhancing the effective functioning and well-being of individuals, families, and communities through its work and through it advocacy, we are committed to ensuring that working families have the job and economic security that paid sick days provide and recognizing the benefits to contractors, the government, taxpayers and the economy.

The National Association of Social Workers is the largest membership organization of professional social workers in the world. The Association is comprised of over 130, 000 members with 50 state chapters and five additional chapters in Guam, New York City, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and Washington, D.C.

The proposed rule will directly benefit an estimated 828,000 employees of federal contractors, including an estimated 437,000 employees who currently receive no paid sick days. The proposed rule requires contractors to allow employees working on or in connection with a covered contract to accrue up to 56 hours of paid sick time annually to care for their own medical needs, a family member's medical needs, or for purposes related to domestic violence, sexual assault or stalking. These employees will join the approximately 10 million or more workers across the country that have or will soon have access to paid sick days as a result of laws that have been enacted in five states, the District of Columbia and more than 20 localities across the country.

I. Paid sick days will improve the economy and efficiency of federal contracting.

Evidence from the private sector and the states and cities with paid sick days laws demonstrates that paid sick days improve employee retention, reduce workplace contagion and injury and increase productivity. The cost savings associated with paid sick days serve the purpose of the Order to promote economy and efficiency in federal contracting.

Paid sick days help reduce the high costs of employee turnover. Research shows that an employee is at least 25 percent less likely to voluntarily leave a job when the employee has access to paid sick days. Across all occupations, median turnover costs are estimated to be 21 percent of workers' annual wages. For workers in highwage jobs and senior or executive positions, turnover costs can amount to 213 percent of workers' salaries, and even in middle- and lower-wage jobs, turnover costs are estimated to be 16 to 20 percent of workers' annual wages. Direct costs associated with turnover include separation costs, costs associated with temporary staffing, costs associated with searching for and interviewing new workers, and training costs for new workers. Indirect

costs associated with turnover can arise from lost productivity leading up to and after employee separations, diminished output as new workers ramp up, reduced morale and lost institutional knowledge.^{vi}

Paid sick days help reduce the risk of workplace contagion and injury. Many workers without paid sick days report to work sick rather than sacrificing critical income, resulting in co-workers' and customers' exposure to contagious infections. In a national survey, 87 percent of employers reported that employees had come to work with short-term, easily spread illnesses such as a cold or the flu. Paid sick days reduce the risk that employees will spread illness at work. Overall, people without paid sick time are 1.5 times more likely than people with paid sick time to go to work with a contagious illness like the flu. In a recent paper examining Google flu data from 2003 to 2015, researchers found that when workers gained access to paid sick days, the number of workers going to work with contagious illnesses decreased, causing infection rates to decrease by up to 20 percent.

Paid sick days may also reduce the risk of workplace injuries. A study by researchers from the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention found that workers with access to paid sick time were 28 percent less likely than workers without access to paid sick time to be injured on the job.^x Paid sick days will therefore improve the economy and efficiency of federal contracting by decreasing the amount of worker time lost to contagious illness and workplace injuries.

Paid sick days can lead to improvements in productivity. Paid sick days can reduce the risk of "presenteeism" – workers coming to work with illnesses and health conditions that reduce their productivity – a problem that costs the national economy \$160 billion annually (\$206.6 billion after adjusting for inflation).*i In one survey of human resources executives, 38 percent reported presenteeism being a problem in their organizations, and 69 percent reported having paid sick time or other paid time off policies in place as measures to prevent this problem.*ii Another survey showed that 26 percent of workers without paid time off to see a doctor reported having six or more days in which they were unable to concentrate at work, compared to 17 percent of workers who had such paid time off.*iii Paid sick days help workers recover and return to work more quickly: nationally, workers without paid sick days spent more days bedridden due to illness than workers with paid sick days.*iv

Compliance with the EO will not unduly burden contractors. Experience shows that most employers do not face challenges when paid sick days requirements go into effect. In particular, under the proposed rule, contractors with an existing policy that provides paid time off and meets certain conditions will satisfy the requirements of the EO. This means that contractors that already provide this time will not have to provide additional time.

Even for contractors who do not already provide paid time off, evidence from the cities and states with paid sick days laws demonstrates that compliance burdens for contractors are minimal and are far outweighed by the benefits of the law. In San Francisco, home to the country's first paid sick days standard, the Vice President of the local Chamber of Commerce — which led the fight against the law with dire predictions about its impact on employers and job growth — told the *New York Times* that the law's impact was "minimal" and that "[b]y and large, [paid sick days] has not been an employer issue." In Connecticut, which passed a paid sick days law in 2011, a 2013 survey of employers found that the law had a minimal impact on costs. Employers identified several positive effects of paid sick days, including improved employee productivity and morale, and more than three-quarters expressed support for the law.**

Nearly three years after Seattle's 2011 adoption of its paid sick days law, nearly 70 percent of employers said they experienced no administrative difficulties with implementation and 70 percent of employers said they support the law.**

Finally, in Jersey City, where paid sick days passed in 2013, businesses that changed their policies to comply with the law reported significant benefits,

including a reduction in the number of sick employees coming to work, an increase in productivity, an improvement in the quality of job applicants and a reduction in employee turnover.xviii

II. The proposed rule will benefit workers, public health and the economy and aligns with existing state and local paid sick days laws.

The proposed rule contains important provisions on accrual and purposes of leave, covered family members, reinstatement of leave, and notice, retaliation and enforcement that will further the goal of improving economy and efficiency in federal contracting.

The proposed rule's accrual rate and maximum accrual amount will enable workers and contractors to realize the benefits of paid sick days and are in line with state and local laws. The proposed rule requires contractors to allow workers to accrue one hour of paid sick time for every 30 hours worked on or in connection with a covered contract, and permits the contractor to limit an employee's accrual to 56 hours (the equivalent of seven days for a full-time worker) annually. We are pleased that "hours worked" encompasses all time for which an employee is or should be paid, including when the employee is using paid sick days or other paid time off provided by the contractor.

Enabling workers to accrue an adequate amount of time is essential to realizing the health benefits of paid sick days. An uncomplicated case of influenza can take between three and seven days to resolve, and most healthy adults may be contagious for five to seven days after becoming sick.** In addition, allowing accrual up to 56 hours is in line with typical private sector employers' practices: the majority of private sector workers with paid sick days receive five to nine days per year.** Offering comparable benefits may help federal contractors compete for talented employees. The proposed accrual rate is also consistent with existing paid sick days laws; the vast majority – more than two thirds – allow workers to accrue sick time at this exact rate.

The purposes for which paid sick time can be taken under the proposed rule will lead to a more productive workforce and will improve the health and safety of workers. The proposed rule requires that workers must be allowed to use their paid sick time for absences from work due to the employee's or a family member's physical or mental illness or need for medical care, or for purposes related to the employee or employee's family member being a victim of domestic violence, sexual assault or stalking. These purposes are consistent with paid sick days laws across the country.

Providing workers time off to attend to their own and their family members' health care needs will ensure a healthier and more productive federal contracting workforce. Paid sick time reduces recovery time, promotes the use of regular medical providers rather than hospital emergency departments, and reduces the likelihood of people spreading illness.**xi Access to paid sick time can also help decrease the likelihood that a worker will put off needed care, and can increase the rates of preventive care among workers and their children.**xii Allowing workers time off to care for their children makes recovery faster and can prevent future health problems.**xiii

The proposed rule's inclusion of time off related to domestic violence, sexual assault or stalking is also critical to the safety and economic security of workers. Survivors of domestic and sexual violence are often forced to lose days of paid employment because of the violence they face. According to surveys from the Bureau of Justice Statistics, 36 percent of rape and sexual assault victims lost more than 10 days of work following victimization, and more than half of stalking victims lost five or more days of work.xxiv Each year, victims of domestic violence are forced to miss nearly eight million days of paid work, costing more than \$700 million annually due to lost productivity.xxv

We fully support DOL's proposed definition of "[i]ndividual related by blood or affinity whose close association with the employee is the equivalent of a family relationship" to mean "any person with whom the employee has a significant personal bond that is or is like a family relationship, regardless of biological or legal relationship." In response to DOL's request for input, we do not believe specific limitations are necessary. In fact, any attempt to enumerate and limit the types of relationships covered by the "blood or affinity" standard could undermine its purpose and exclude important family relationships. DOL's emphasis on a significant personal bond, regardless of a biological or legal relationship, captures the essence of this standard and reflects the reality of today's families. In particular, DOL's example of a worker who has provided, for five years, unpaid care to an elderly neighbor captures the important relationships covered by a flexible definition.

We strongly support reinstatement of accrued unused paid sick time for employees rehired by the same contractor or a successor contractor within 12 months after a job separation. Reinstatement of paid sick time means that an employee will not lose her accrued time if she leaves and returns to the same job on one contract or while she remains employed by an employer in between work on covered contracts. Additionally, we fully support DOL's interpretation of the EO to mean that an employee does not forfeit her accrued paid sick time when she takes a job working on a successor contract. Reinstating unused paid sick time may encourage employees to continue working for the same contractor on new contracts and on successor contracts, which will improve efficiency for the contractor and for the government by improving continuity and reducing training costs.

The proposed rule contains important provisions on notice, interference and discrimination, and enforcement. The proposed rule requires contractors to notify employees performing work on or in connection with a covered contract of the paid sick time requirements by posting a notice to be provided by DOL in a prominent and accessible location. Contractors are familiar with posting requirements under federal employment laws and executive orders. ** Additionally, the proposed rule requires contractors to inform employees in writing of the amount of paid sick time the employee has accrued but not used at various times. This important step will ensure that employees have the information they need to use their sick time appropriately.

The proposed rule prohibits a contractor's interference with an employee's accrual or use of paid sick time, as well as discrimination against an employee for using or attempting to use paid sick time, filing a complaint, cooperating in an investigation, or informing another of her rights. State and local paid sick days laws have similar protections. Such protections are fundamental, because without them, a worker's right to earn paid sick time would be illusory. In the United States, nearly half of private sector workers (49 percent) who have paid sick time say their employers have progressive disciplinary policies that punish workers for using paid sick time; more than one-third report that they fear retaliation or abuse for using paid sick time.xxxvii

Finally, the proposed rule contains reasonable enforcement provisions, allowing employees to file complaints with DOL's Wage and Hour Division, and contains appropriate remedies including recovery of liquidated damages and the possibility of debarment for contractors who are found to have disregarded their obligations under the EO. Strong enforcement provisions are critical to protecting workers' rights and discouraging contractors from violating the law.

Conclusion

We strongly support the proposed rule, which will guarantee more workers the job and economic security that paid sick days provide, reduce workplace contagion and increase productivity and retention, leading to greater economy and efficiency in federal contracting and cost savings to the government, taxpayers and employers.

When implemented, this rule will also add to the growing body of evidence that demonstrates the clear benefits of paid sick days and the need for a national standard. We applied the administration for leading the way on this issue and urge swift implementation of a final rule.

Sincerely,

Angelo McClain, PhD, LICSW Chief Executive Officer

angelo m & Claim

¹ National Partnership for Women & Families. (2016, March). Paid Sick Days – State Statutes and City and County Laws. Retrieved 14 March 2016, from http://www.nationalpartnership.org/research-library/work-family/psd/paid-sick-days-statutes.pdf

 $^{^{\}hbox{\scriptsize II}}$ Hill, H. D. (2013). Paid Sick Leave and Job Stability. Work and Occupations, 40(2), 143-173

iii Boushey, H., & Glynn, S. J. (2012, November 16). There Are Significant Business Costs to Replacing Employees. Center for American Progress Publication. Retrieved 15 March 2016, from http://www.americanprogress.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/11/CostofTurnover.pdf

iv Ibid

V Allen, D. G., Bryant, P. C., & Vardaman, J. M. (2010). Retaining talent: Replacing misconceptions with evidence-based strategies. The Academy of Management Perspectives, 24(2), 48-64.

vi Boushey, H., & Glynn, S. J. (2012, November 16). There Are Significant Business Costs to Replacing Employees. Center for American Progress Publication. Retrieved 15 March 2016, from http://www.americanprogress.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/11/CostofTurnover.pdf

vii Wolters Kluwer Law & Business. (2008, January 10). On the Job, But Out of It? CCH Survey Looks At Ill Effects of Sick Employees At Work. Retrieved 14 March 2016, from http://www.cch.com/press/news/2008/20080110h.asp

viii Smith, T. W., & Kim, J. (2010, June). Paid Sick Days: Attitudes and Experiences. National Opinion Research Center at the University of Chicago Publication. Retrieved 15 March 2016, from http://news.uchicago.edu/static/newsengine/pdf/100621.paid.sick.leave.pdf

ix Pichler, S., & Ziebarth, N. R. (2015). The Pros and Cons of Sick Pay Schemes: Testing for Contagious Presenteeism and Shirking Behavior. Deutsches Institut für Wirtschaftsforschung Publication. Retrieved 14 March 2016, from http://www.diw.de/documents/publikationen/73/diw 01.c.514633.de/dp1509.pdf

X Asfaw, A., Pana-Cryan, R.,&Rosa, R. (2012, September). Paid Sick Leave and Nonfatal Occupational Injuries. American Journal of Public Health, 102(9), e59-e64. Retrieved 15 March 2016, from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3482022/

xi Stewart, W. F., Ricci, J. A., Chee, E., Morganstein, D. (2003, December). Lost Productive Work Time Costs from Health Conditions in the United States: Results From the American Productivity Audit. Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 45(12), 1234-1246. Retrieved 15 March 2016, from http://www.nationalpartnership.org/research-library/work-family/psd/lost-productive-work-time-american-productivity-audit.pdf (Unpublished calculation based on \$226 billion annually in lost productivity, 71 percent due to presenteeism.)

xii Wolters Kluwer Law & Business. (2008, January 10). On the Job, But Out of It? CCH Survey Looks At Ill Effects of Sick Employees At Work. Retrieved 14 March 2016, from http://www.cch.com/press/news/2008/20080110h.asp

xiii Davis, K., Collins, S. R., Doty, M. M., Ho, A., & Holmgren, A. L. (2005, August). Issue Brief: Health and Productivity Among U.S. Workers. The Commonwealth Fund Publication. Retrieved 14 March 2016, from http://www.commonwealthfund.org/~/media/files/publications/issue-brief/2005/aug/health-and-productivity-among-u-s--workers/856 davis hlt productivity usworkers-pdf.pdf

xiv Human Impact Partners. (2009, September 3). A Health Impact Assessment of the Healthy Families Act of 2009. Retrieved 17 March 2016, from http://www.humanimpact.org/downloads/national-paid-sick-days-hia-report/

xv Swarns, R. (2014, January 26). Despite Business Fears, Sick-Day Laws Like New York's Work Well Elsewhere. New York Times. Retrieved 17 March 2016, from http://www.nytimes.com/2014/01/27/nyregion/despite-business-fears-sick-day-laws-like-new-yorks-work-well-elsewhere.html

XVI Appelbaum, E., Milkman, R., Elliott, L., & Kroeger, T. (2014, March). Good for Business? Connecticut's Paid Sick Leave Law. Center for Economic and Policy Research and The Murphy Institute at the City University of New York Publication. Retrieved 17 March 2016, from http://cepr.net/documents/good-for-business-2014-02-21.pdf

xvii Romich, J., Bignell, W., Brazg, T., Johnson, C., Mar, C., Morton, J., & Song, C. (2014, April 23). Implementation and Early Outcomes of the City of Seattle Paid Sick and Safe Time Ordinance. University of Washington Publication. Retrieved 17 March 2016, from https://www.seattle.gov/Documents/Departments/CityAuditor/auditreports/PSSTOUWReportwAppendices.pdf

xviii Lindemann, D., & Britton, D. (2015, April). Earned Sick Days in Jersey City: A Study of Employers and Employees at Year One. Center for Women and Work at Rutgers, the State University of New Jersey Publication. Retrieved 17 March 2016, from https://cww.rutgers.edu/files/documents/working-families/Jersey-City-ESD-Issue-Brief.pdf

xix Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2015, January 26). Clinical Signs and Symptoms of Influenza. Retrieved 14 March 2016, from http://www.cdc.gov/flu/professionals/acip/clinical.htm

XX U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. (2015, September). National Compensation Survey: Employee Benefits in the United States, March 2015 (Table 35). Retrieved 14 March 2016, from http://www.bls.gov/ncs/ebs/benefits/2015/ebbl0057.pdf

xxi Human Impact Partners. (2009, September 3). A Health Impact Assessment of the Healthy Families Act of 2009. Retrieved 17 March 2016, from http://www.humanimpact.org/downloads/national-paid-sick-days-hia-report/; Miller, K., Williams, C., & Yi, Y. (2011, November). Paid Sick Days and Health: Cost Savings from Reduced Emergency Department Visits. Institute for Women's Policy Research Publication. Retrieved 17 March 2016, from http://www.ihup.org/publications/pubs/paid-sick-days-and-health-cost-savings-from-reduced-emergency-department-visits; Smith, T. W., & Kim, J. (2010, June). Paid Sick Days: Attitudes and Experiences. National Opinion Research Center at the University of Chicago Publication. Retrieved 15 March 2016, from http://news.uchicago.edu/static/newsengine/pdf/100621.paid.sick-leave.pdf; Drago, R., & Miller, K. (2010, February). Sick at Work: Infected Employees in the Workplace During the H1N1 Pandemic. Institute for Women's Policy Research Publication. Retrieved 17 March 2016, from http://www.iwpr.org/publications/pubs/sick-at-work-infected-employees-in-the-workplace-during-the-h1n1-pandemic: Kumar, S., Quinn, S. C., Kim, K. H., Daniel, L. H., & Freimuth, V. S. (2011, November 17). The Impact of Workplace Policies and Other Social Factors on Self-Reported Influenza-Like Illness Incidence During the 2009 H1N1 Pandemic. American Journal of Public Health, 102(1), 134-140. Retrieved 17 March 2016, from http://www.cdc.gov/phpr/documents/science/AJPH 2011 300307v1.pdf; Pichler, S., & Ziebarth, N. R. (2015). The Pros and Cons of Sick Pay Schemes: Testing for Contagious Presenteeism and Shirking Behavior. Deutsches Institut für Wirtschaftsforschung Publication. Retrieved 14 March 2016, from http://www.diw.de/documents/publikationen/73/diw 01.c.514633.de/dp1509.pdf

xxii Miller, K., Williams, C., & Yi, Y. (2011, November). Paid Sick Days and Health: Cost Savings from Reduced Emergency Department Visits, 14-15 (Tables 5, 6). Institute for Women's Policy Research Publication. Retrieved 15 March 2016, from http://www.iwpr.org/publications/pubs/paid-sick-days-and-health-cost-savings-from-reduced-emergency-department-visits: Peipins, L., Soman, A., Berkowitz, Z., & White, M. C. (2012, July 12). The lack of paid sick leave as a barrier to cancer screening and medical care-seeking: results from the National Health Interview Survey. BMC Public Health. (12)520. Retrieved 17 March 2016, from http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/pdf/471-2458-12-520.pdf DeRigne, L., Stoddard-Dare, P., & Quinn, L. (2016, March). Workers Without Paid Sick Leave. Less Likely To Take Time Off For Illness Or Injury Compared To Those With Paid Sick Leave. Health Affairs 35(3), 520-527. Retrieved 17 March 2016, from http://content.healthaffairs.org/content/35/3/520.abstract

xxiii Heymann, J. (2001). The Widening Gap: Why America's Working Families Are in Jeopardy—and What Can Be Done About It. New York, NY: Basic Books; see also National Partnership for Women & Families. (2015, August). Healthy Kids, Healthy Kids, Healthy Kids, Healthy Kids, Healthy Kids, Healthy Kids, Healthy-kids-healthy-kids-healthy-schools: The Case for a National Paid Sick Days Standard. Retrieved 17 March 2016, from http://www.nationalpartnership.org/research-library/work-family/psd/healthy-kids-healthy-kids

xxiv Bureau of Justice Statistics, U.S. Department of Justice. (2013, December). Stalking. Retrieved 15 March 2016, from http://www.bjs.gov/index.cfm?ty=tp&tid=973; Bureau of Justice Statistics, U.S. Department of Justice. (2002, August). National Crime Victimization Survey: Personal and Property Crimes, 2000.

xxv Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2013, December 24). Intimate Partner Violence: Consequences. Retrieved 15 March 2016, from http://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/intimatepartnerviolence/consequences.html; Hanson, R. F., Sawyer, G. K., Begle, A. M., Hubel, G. S. (2010, April). The Impact of Crime Victimization on Quality of Life. Journal of Traumatic Stress, 23(2), 189–197. Retrieved 17 March 2016, from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2910433/

xxvi See, e.g., U.S. Department of Labor. (n.d.). Poster Page: Workplace Poster Requirements for Small Businesses and Other Employers. Retrieved 14 March 2016, from http://www.dol.gov/oasam/boc/osdbu/sbrefa/poster/matrix.htm#; U.S. Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs. (n.d.). OFCCP Equal Employment Opportunity Posters. Retrieved 14 March 2016, from http://www.dol.gov/ofccp/regs/compliance/posters/ofccpost.htm

xxviii Miller, K., Drago, R., & Williams, C. (2011, July). Paid Sick Days and Employer Penalties for Absence. Institute for Women's Policy Research Publication. Retrieved 14 March 2016, from http://www.iwpr.org/publications/pubs/paid-sick-days-and-employer-penalties-for-absence