

May 30, 2018

The Honorable Orrin G. Hatch
Chairman
Committee on Finance
219 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

The Honorable Ron Wyden
Ranking Member
Committee on Finance
219 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Chairman Hatch and Ranking Member Wyden:

On behalf of Medicare beneficiaries, advocates, and stakeholders supporting Medicare, we are writing to ask you to include auto-escalation of Part D appeals to the Independent Review Entity (IRE) in comprehensive opioid legislation. The lack of auto-escalation¹ unduly burdens Part D enrollees and hinders their access to Part D drugs.

The Comprehensive Addiction and Recovery Act included auto-escalation in new Medicare Part D lock-in programs. The provision “locks-in” a beneficiary at risk to misuse a frequently abused drug to certain prescribers and pharmacies. As part of the patient protections, Congress included automatic escalation to external review for Part D appeals for beneficiaries “locked-in” to ensure beneficiaries would not have delayed access to necessary drugs. However, the final regulations implementing the lock-in do not include the auto-escalation protection.

Although the Medicare Advantage (Part C) program served as the model for implementation of the Part D benefit in 2006, not all aspects of the Part C appeals process were incorporated into the Part D appeals process created in the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003 (Medicare Modernization Act). In the Part C program, “reconsideration determinations” or redeterminations conducted by the plan sponsors are automatically escalated to the Part C IRE. However, the Part D appeals process does not include the auto-escalation of benefit denials to the IRE (also called the Part D Qualified Independent Contractor).

While an enrollee who is dissatisfied with the results of a redetermination by the plan has a right to reconsideration by the Part D IRE, the enrollee or an appointed representative must initiate the escalation to the next level of appeal. The enrollee or an appointed representative must file a written request (letter or fax) for an appeal with the Part D IRE within 60 days of the date of the adverse redetermination by the Part D plan sponsor. The written appeal process is often confusing to enrollees, their representatives and their prescribers. Supplying elements for the appeal can be confusing, especially when an enrollee does not use the model appeal/reconsideration request form. For example, Part D plans are typically known by different names, and enrollees are often confused by the brand vs. generic names of drugs.

The lack of auto-escalation is a significant barrier to the entire Part D appeals process. Data prepared by the Part D IRE shows that Part D appeals rates are significantly less than Part C. While the Part C appeal rate has increased since 2006, the Part D appeals rate has not increased significantly despite changes to improve notification to beneficiaries about the appeals process and to allow health care professionals to request IRE reconsiderations of Part D coverage determinations on behalf of enrollees without having to obtain signed appointment of representative forms.

¹ Auto-escalation is different from auto-forwarding which occurs in Part D appeals processes when a plan sponsor fails to make a decision within the required time frame. In these cases, the plan must auto-forward the case to the IRE and notify the enrollee that the plan forwarded the case.

Part C Reconsideration Appeal Rate, Per 1000 Enrollees

Contract Year	Part C Reconsideration Appeals	Part C Enrollment	Appeal Rate / 1000 Enrollees
2006	22,303	7,405,312	3.01
2007	27,998	8,669,618	3.23
2008	44,166	10,039,544	4.40
2009	61,627	11,120,953	5.54
2010	62,422	11,735,818	5.32
2011	68,517	12,356,306	5.55
2012	109,636	13,587,492	8.07
2013	119,239	14,848,606	8.03
2014	46,747	16,270,582	2.87
2015	46,377	17,532,429	2.65
Average	60,943	12,356,666	4.87

Part D Reconsideration Appeal Rate, Per 1000 Enrollees

Contract Year	Part D Reconsideration Appeals	Part D Enrollment	Appeal Rate / 1000 Enrollees
2006	12,977	23,579,204	0.55
2007	11,036	24,168,418	0.46
2008	16,541	25,636,831	0.65
2009	20,733	26,814,113	0.77
2010	18,959	27,810,505	0.68
2011	13,752	29,329,046	0.47
2012	14,131	31,599,967	0.45
2013	16,208	35,667,604	0.66
2014	22,690	37,637,310	0.60
2015	33,407	39,440,367	0.85
Average	17,314	29,138,111	0.59

Includes both Medicare Advantage Prescription Drug Plans and Prescription Drug Plans

Congress should clarify its intent with respect to the Medicare lock-in and extend the same beneficiary protection to all Part D beneficiaries to ensure they do not have delayed access to necessary drugs.

Sincerely,

Aging Life Care Association®

Alliance for Retired Americans

American Association on Health and Disability

American Society on Aging

Arthritis Foundation

California Health Advocates

Center for Medicare Advocacy

HealthyWomen

International Foundation for Autoimmune & Autoinflammatory Arthritis

Justice in Aging

Lakeshore Foundation
Lupus and Allied Diseases Association, Inc.
Lupus Foundation of America
Medicare Rights Center
Mental Health America
The Michael J. Fox Foundation for Parkinson's Research
National Association for Home Care & Hospice
National Association of Social Workers (NASW)
National Association of State Long-Term Care Ombudsman Programs (NASOP)
National Consumer Voice for Quality Long-Term Care
National Council on Aging
National Multiple Sclerosis Society
WISER (The Women's institute for a Secure Retirement)

cc: Senate Committee on Finance